NanED Workshop II – Imperfect crystals, complementarity with XRPD, Intellectual properties and patent in Science Mainz, December 6.-8, 2022

### XRPD structure solution and refinement strategies

**R. E. Dinnebier** 

Max-Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Stuttgart, Germany



Slides of Wikipedia and other web sources are used in this lecture

#### Basics of diffractio(n)



Francesco Maria Grimaldi (1618-1663).

Italian jesuit, physisist, mathematician, and astronomer from Bologna.

#### Powder diffraction in reciprocal & real space



### About 4.8 million grains of 5 $\mu$ m diameter in 1 mm<sup>3</sup> powder (assuming a packing density of 60%)



Peter J.W. Debye



Paul Scherrer



Albert W. Hull

Debye, P.;Scherrer, P. (1916), Interferenzen an regellos orientierten Teilchen im Roentgenlicht, Physikalische Zeitschrift 17, 277-283 Hull, A. W. (1917), A New Method of X-Ray Crystal Analysis, Phys. Rev. 10, 661 - 696

#### The problem of powder diffraction Summary

Powder: Single crystal reciprocal lattice is smeared into spherical shells

### $\mathbf{d} \Longrightarrow \left| \mathbf{d} \right| = d \approx \sin \theta^{-1}$

 $\rightarrow$  Different degrees of overlap:

- systematic (e.g. 511, 333 in the cubic case and multiplicity)
- accidental (depending on lattice parameters and scattering angle)

→<u>Not only the phases of the</u> <u>structure factors are unknown,</u> <u>but also many amplitudes</u>



#### Powder diffraction: overlapping reflections



#### Information in a powder pattern



#### The Rietveld method, a local optimization method



Acta Cryst. (1967). 22, 151-152, Line profiles of neutron powder-diffraction peaks for structure refinement

Appl. Cryst. (1969). 2, 65-71, A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures

First application on X-ray data: J. Appl. Cryst. (1977). 10, 7-11 Least-squares structure refinement based on profile analysis of powder film intensity data measured on an automatic microdensitometer, G. Malmros and J. O. Thomas





The degree of overlap of individual reflection correlates with the uncertainty of their integrated intensities.

#### Rietveld's idea:

Modelling of the entire powder pattern while minimizing the difference to the measured powder pattern by LSQ's techniques takes the peak overlap intrinsically into account.

#### The Rietveld method: How to

The idea of least squares

$$Min = \sum_{i} \left( w_i (y_{calc} (2\theta_i) - y_{obs} (2\theta_i))^2 \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( w_i (Yobs_i - Ycalc_i (p_1 \dots p_m)) \frac{\partial Ycalc_i}{\partial p_j} \right) = 0$$

using Taylor series expansion



>> 100 Degrees of freedom possible (proteins !)

#### The Rietveld formula: 5 main contributions



#### The Rietveld formula: 5 models

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Peak position

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### The Rietveld formula: peak position

The position of a Bragg reflection can be calculated from Bragg's equation:

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### corrected zero shift and sample displacement:

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Peak profile

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### The Rietveld formula: peak profile

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

H. P. Klug, L. E. Alexander (1974), "X-ray diffraction procedures for polycrystalline and amorphous materials". John Wiley and Sons, New York. Cheary, R. W., Cline, J. P., Coelho, A. A. (2004) Fundamental Parameters Line Profile Fitting in Laboratory Diffractometers. 109(1).

#### Selected components of a peak function

$$G(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\ln(2)/\pi}}{fwhm}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-3\ln(2)(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl})^2}{fwhm^2}\right)$$

$$L(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) = \left(\frac{2/\pi}{fwhm}\right) \left(\frac{1 + 4(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl})^2}{fwhm^2}\right)$$

$$H(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) = \begin{cases} A \text{ for } -\frac{a}{2} < (2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) < \frac{a}{2} \\ 0 \text{ for } (2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) \leq -\frac{a}{2} \text{ and } (2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) \geq -\frac{a}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$C(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) = \left(1 - \sqrt{\left|\frac{\varepsilon_m}{(2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl})}\right|}\right)^{\text{for}} (2\theta - 2\theta_{hkl}) = 0.\varepsilon_m$$

#### The Rietveld formula: peak profile

 $LaB_6$  line profile standard (Mo-K<sub>a1</sub>, Ge(220), Lynx-Eye)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Only 4 fundamental parameters

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Peak intensity

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### The Rietveld formula: intensity

Atomic form factor Anomalous dispersion X-ray wavelength in Å 1.54Å 1.05Å 0.95Å 0.87Å 0.79Å 0.74Å 1.34Å 1.18Å 4.0e Se f" Sef 2.0e 0.0e -2.0e f Röntgen Neutronen Br⁻ b -4.0e 30 --6.0e <sup>56</sup>Fe f + f' + i f''SEK Fe<sup>2+</sup> <sup>12</sup>Ç -8.0e 1 出 D6p -10.0e  $^{16}O$ Ca<sup>2+</sup> 20 -8000 10000 12000 14000 16000 18000 <sup>57</sup>Fe X-ray energy in eV 0 CI 10000 <sup>1</sup>H\_ Mass absorption Coefficient 10 -Fe-Ka 0 Co-Ka Fe Ni-Ka -1-C Н , sin ⊙ sin Θ 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 λ λ 10 12 14 2 10 Energy (KeV)

#### The structure factor

$$|F_{calc}(hkl)|^{2} = |A(hkl)|^{2} + |B(hkl)|^{2} = [A(hkl) + i|B(hkl)|][A(hkl) - i|B(hkl)]]$$

$$A(hkl) = \sum_{j} (f_{j}(2\theta_{hkl})\cos[2\pi(hx_{j} + ky_{j} + lz_{j})])$$

$$B(hkl) = \sum_{j} (f_{j}(2\theta_{hkl})\sin[2\pi(hx_{j} + ky_{j} + lz_{j})])$$

$$F(h)$$

$$B(h)$$

$$F(h)$$

$$B(h)$$

$$F(h)$$

$$B(h)$$

$$F(h)$$

$$B(h)$$

$$F(h)$$

$$B(h)$$

$$F(h)$$

Anomalous dispersion

$$f_{j}(2\theta_{hkl}) = f_{j}^{0}(2\theta_{hkl}) + \Delta f_{j}' + i\Delta f_{j}''$$

 $A(hkl) = \sum_{j} \left( \left( f_{j} \left( 2\theta_{hkl} \right) + \Delta f_{j}^{'} \right) \cos \left[ 2\pi \left( hx_{j} + ky_{j} + lz_{j} \right) \right] \right) - \sum_{j} \left( \Delta f_{j}^{''} \sin \left[ 2\pi \left( hx_{j} + ky_{j} + lz_{j} \right) \right] \right)$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Displacement parameter

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$t_j(2\theta_{hkl}) = \exp\left(-B_j\frac{\sin^2\theta_{hkl}}{\lambda}\right)$$

$$B_j = 8\pi^2 (u^2)_j$$
 in [Å<sup>2</sup>]

$$B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} \\ B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Selected correction functions in powder diffraction

#### **Polarization factor**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Case (1): Polarisation in plane of scattering,  $P = \cos^2 2\theta$ Case (2): Polarisation perpendicular to plane of scattering, P = 1Case (3): Unpolarised X-rays,  $P = (1 + \cos^2 2\theta)/2$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Multiplicity

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Lorentz factor

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Absorption correction

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Transparancy effect**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$w_{\rm T}(x;\mu,T,R,2\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\rm T}} \exp\left(\frac{x}{\gamma_{\rm T}}\right) & \text{for } -x_{\rm T} < x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

where x is the deviation from the peak position,  $\mu$  is the linear absorption coefficient of the specimen, T is the thickness of the specimen, R is the goinometer radius,  $2\theta$  is the diffraction angle,  $\gamma_{\rm T} \equiv \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2\mu R}, \ x_{\rm T} \equiv \frac{2T\cos\theta}{R}.$ 

T. Ida and K. Kimura, J. Appl. Cryst., 32, 982-991 (1999)

#### Axial divergence

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Preferred orientation**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

W. A. Dollase, J. Appl. Cryst. (1986). 19, 267-272. Correction of intensities for preferred orientation in powder diffractometry: application of the March model.

### Con/restraints

#### The Rietveld method: introducing chemical knowledge

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Agreement factors

#### The Rietveld method: Some agreement factors

$$R_{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |Yobs_{i} - Ycalc_{i}|}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Yobs_{i}}$$

$$w_i = \frac{1}{\sigma(Yobs_i)^2}$$

$$R_{wp} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} w_{i} (Yobs_{i} - Ycalc_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{k} w_{i} Yobs_{i}^{2}}}$$

$$R_{\exp} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} M - P}{\sum_{k} w_{i} Yobs_{i}^{2}}}$$

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{R_{wp}}{R_{exp}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} w_{i} (Yobs_{i} - Ycalc_{i})^{2}}{M - P}}$$

$$R'_{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |Yobs_{i} - Ycalc_{i}|}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |Yobs_{i} - b_{i}(obs)|}$$

$$R_{wp}' = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} w_i (Yobs_i - Ycalc_i)^2}{\sum_{k} w_i (Yobs_i - b_i (obs))^2}}$$

$$R_{exp}' = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} M - P}{\sum_{k} w_i (Yobs_i - b_i (obs))^2}}$$

$$R_{Bragg} = \frac{\sum_{k} |Iobs_{k} - Icalc_{k}|}{\sum_{k} Iobs_{k}}$$

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### The Rietveld formula: QPA

Integrated intensity I of a reflection *hkl* for phase  $\alpha$  in a multi-phase mixture measured on a flat plate sample with "infinite thickness":

$$I_{(hkl)\alpha} = \left[\frac{I_0\lambda^3}{32\pi r}\frac{e^4}{m_e^2c^4}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{M_{hkl}}{2V_{\alpha}^2}\left|F_{(hkl)\alpha}\right|^2 \left(\frac{1+\cos^22\theta\cos^22\theta_m}{\sin^2\theta\cos\theta}\right)\right] \cdot \left[\frac{W_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}\mu_m^*}\right]$$

Which can be simplified using a constant for reflection hkl in a particular experimental setup

$$I_{(hkl)\alpha} = C_{(hkl)\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{V_{\alpha}^{2}} \cdot \left[\frac{W_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}\mu_{m}^{*}}\right]$$

In Rietveld analysis, all reflection intensities of a phase  $\alpha$  are proportional to the corresponding scale factor:

$$I_{(hkl)\alpha} \propto S_{\alpha}$$

This leads to an with a scaling factof K which depends exclusively on the instrumental conditions and not on the sample/phases. :

$$S_{\alpha} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \frac{1}{V_{\alpha}^{2}} \cdot \left[\frac{W_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha}^{*}}\right]$$

#### The Rietveld formula: QPA

Using the X-ray density

$$\rho_{\alpha} = 1.6604 \cdot \frac{ZM_{\alpha}}{V_{\alpha}}$$

the scale factor of phase  $\alpha$  can be rewritten as:

$$S_{\alpha} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \frac{1}{V_{\alpha}^{2}} \cdot \left[ \frac{W_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}}{1.6604 \cdot Z M_{\alpha} \mu_{m}^{*}} \right]$$

from which the weight fraction of phase  $\alpha$  can be calculated

$$W_{\alpha} = \frac{S_{\alpha}(ZMV)_{\alpha}\mu_m^*}{K'}$$

assuming all phases to be crystalline, the following normalization relation can be used:

$$\sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha} = 1$$

allowing to eliminate the instrument constant and the mass absorption coefficient of te sample:

$$W_{\alpha} = \frac{S_{\alpha}(ZMV)_{\alpha}}{\sum_{p=1}^{n} S_{p}(ZMV)_{p}}$$

#### The Rietveld formula: QPA

Amorphous/unknown content can be determined by adding an internal standard s:

$$W_{\alpha(meas)} = W_{s(meas)} \cdot \frac{S_{\alpha(meas)} (ZMV)_{\alpha(meas)}}{S_{s(meas)} (ZMV)_{s(meas)}}$$

The absolute weight fractions of the known materials can then be can calculated by:

$$W_{\alpha(abs)} = W_{\alpha(meas)} \times \frac{W_{std(known)}}{W_{std(meas)}}$$

The weight fraction of the unknown material (e.g. amorphous content) follows directly by:

$$W_{(unk)} = 1.0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{k(abs)}$$

#### The Rietveld formula: PONCKS partial or none crystalline standard

Determine a set of intensities (group of single peaks, Pawley or LeBail) with an overall fixed scale factor (=1.0) and apply a Lorenz-Polarisation correction:

$$I'_{meas} = \frac{I_{meas}}{Lp}$$

With help of an internal standard s, an artificial ZM can be calculated:

$$(ZM)_{\alpha} = \frac{W_{\alpha}}{W_s} \cdot \frac{s_s}{s_{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{(ZMV)_s}{V_{\alpha}}$$
 using  $V_{\alpha} = 1$  for group of peaks

the "correct" ZM value requires knowledge of the density of the unknown material

$$(ZM)_{\alpha(true)} = \frac{\rho_{\alpha}V_{\alpha}}{1.6604}$$

Peak intensities can then be scaled by:

$$\frac{(ZM)_{\alpha(true)}}{(ZM)_{\alpha}}$$

### Parametric Rietveld refinement

#### Parametric Rietveld refinement

#### Sequential Rietveld refinement: Each parameter in each pattern is refined individually

$$y_{calc}(2\theta_{pattern(1)}) = function(p_{(1),1}, p_{(1),2}, ..., p_{(1),m})$$
  
...  
$$y_{calc}(2\theta_{pattern(n)}) = function(p_{(n),1}, p_{(n),1}, ..., p_{(n),1})$$

Parametric Rietveld refinement: Some parameters in each pattern are functions of external variable(s)

$$y_{calc}(2\theta_{pattern(1)}) = function(p_{(1),1}, p_2 = f(T_1, T_2, ..., T_t), ..., p_{(1),m})$$
  
...  
$$y_{calc}(2\theta_{pattern(n)}) = function(p_{(n),1}, p_{(n),2} = f(T_1, T_2, ..., T_t), ..., p_{(n),1})$$

Minimization for each powder pattern independently

$$Min = \sum_{i} \left( w_i \left( y_{calc} \left( 2\theta_i \right) - y_{obs} \left( 2\theta_i \right) \right)^2 \right)$$

Minimization over all powder patterns simultaneously

$$Min = \sum_{pattern=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{i} \left( w_i \left( y_{calc} \left( 2\theta_{pattern,i} \right) - y_{obs} \left( 2\theta_{pattern,i} \right) \right)^2 \right) \right)$$

Stinton G. W. & Evans J. S. O. (2007) J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 87-95.

#### Reducing the number of degrees of freedom: rigid bodies (RB)

- Number of refined parameters for a tetrahedron AX<sub>4</sub>:
- ✓ free refinement (3\*N)  $\rightarrow$  15
- $\checkmark$  3 rotations + 3 translations  $\rightarrow$  6
- $\checkmark$  + AX average bond length  $\rightarrow$  7
- ✓ + Jahn-Teller distortion  $\rightarrow$  8

✓ + …

```
Possible definition of a MX_4 tetrahedron

r = 2.17

a = 70.5288 (= \arcsin(1/\sqrt{3}))

M

X1 \ z = r;

X2 \ x = \sin(a) \ r;

X3 \ x = -\sin(a)/2 \ r; \ y = \sqrt{3} \sin(a)/2 \ r; \ z = -\cos(a) \ r;

X4 \ x = -\sin(a)/2 \ r; \ y = -\sqrt{3} \sin(a)/2 \ r; \ z = -\cos(a) \ r;
```

UNTITLED.R	GD_		
I BEI yr Waenwidd Pr Y P Refyreid, PD P Refyreid, PD	Free   Dithianore_1.jpd  CoO2-th  Group to the second of t	rigid T_matrix CS T_matrix CS T_matrix C6 CS T_matrix C6 CS T_matrix C2 C1 T_matrix C2 CS T_matrix C3 CS T_matr	1.465306 1.2264595 1.451665 1.453555 0.2923950 1.45155555 1.45155555 1.451555555 1.451555555 1.4515555555 1.45155555555 1.4515555555555555555555555555555555555
Corion Load / Hide Load / Hide Undetc AR Save As Save As First coress Add Lorston	r f1 Zmetrix Lanoic	11 0 3 2 3 d	
Mathed 201 - 2 Antis C - 2 Ant	C5 1.4653506 C5 1.3224539 C1 3 C5 1.4674669 C1 3 C1 3.533535 C5 1 C1 0.5939888 C5 1 C6 1.4624727 C5 1 C6 1.4624727 C5 1 C6 1.463546 C5 1 C6 1.463546 C5 1 C6 1.463546 C5 1 C2 1.463547 C5 1 C2 1.463548 C5 1 C3 1.473547 C5 1 C3 1.235468 C5 1 C4 1.235468 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C7 1.47573 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C7 1.47573 C5 1 C5 1.235468 C5 1 C5 1.457468 C5 1 C5 1.574468 C	er er er	
and / Hild: holds.A.R.J. word word holds.a.R.J. anti-a.R.J. anti	5 19 1 2 - 722 1 - 3 727 1 - 3		

#### Reducing the number of degrees of freedom: Symmetry (SM) modes

#### Distorted Structure = High-symmetry Struct + "frozen" modes

distortion mode = Amplitude x polarization vector

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Modes with an amplitude close to zero can be neglected

Mois I. Aroyo, J. M. Perez-Mato and Danel Orobengoa, Universidad del Pais Vasco, Bilbao, Spain, THE BILBAO CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC SERVER: COMPUTER TOOLS FOR STRUCTURAL PHASE TRANSITIONS, lecture at "International School on the use and application of the Bilbao Crystallographic Server", 21-27 June 2009 Lekeitio (Spain)

### **Global optimization**

#### Global optimization: Simulated annealing

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Easy update to global optimization using simulated annealing possible by Introduction of Metropolis algorithm using the Boltzmann criterion  $exp\left(-\frac{\Delta R}{kT}\right)$ 

N. Metropolis, A. Rosenbluth, M. Rosenbluth, A. Teller und E. Teller: Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines. In: Journal of Chemical Physics. 21, 1953, S. 1087-1092,.

#### ... a sodium copper hydroxy formate hydrate

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Solved by charge flipping, simulated annealing and difference Fourier analysis

### Charge flipping

## The method of charge flipping with histogram matching

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

Oszlányi and Sütő Acta Cryst. (2004). A60, 134-141

Baerlocher, McCusker and Palatinus Z.Krist. (2007). 222 47-53

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)